

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**SUBJECT:** (Optional)

NSC Meeting on Canada, Tuesday, 18 February 1986, at 1100

FROM:George Kolt
NIO for EUROPE**EXTENSION**

R-9511

NO.

NIC No. 00788-86

DATE

14 February 1986

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)**DATE**

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

**OFFICER'S
INITIALS****COMMENTS** (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

VC/NIC (Ford)

(has copy)

2.

C/NIC

(has copy)

3.

ER

4.

5.

6.

7.

DDCI

8.

9.

10.

DCI

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

STAT

Page Denied

Next 24 Page(s) In Document Denied

Has the CIA brainwashed Canada too?

WASHINGTON — Who is John Hadwen and why is the Canadian government hiding him?

Whose side is Joe Clark on anyway — the CIA-and-Washington or Canada's?

When we last left our intriguing real-life drama, you'll recall, the federal government was still waffling over the nine elderly Canadians who had their minds altered by the CIA-funded brainwashing experiments at McGill University.

Between 1953 and 1973, the CIA spent \$25 million attempting to learn how to control the human mind — using a front called the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology to spin money through 50 universities in 21 countries.

Under the CIA code name MKULTRA, McGill's Allan Memorial Institute run by the controversial Dr. Ewen Cameron used LSD and other experiments on 53 patients — nine of whom have been trying to sue the U.S. for some recompense for their destroyed lives.

The Canadian government, essentially, is hiding — under the Conservatives now just as much as under the Liberals previously, in a case that has dragged through the U.S. courts for six years.

Joe Rauh, a legendary and aging American civil rights lawyer here, has nearly gone bankrupt pursuing the case for the nine Canadian citizens. He has established that two CIA chiefs in Ottawa — one Stacy Hulse and one John Kenneth Knaus — officially apologized to Canadian officials for what was done at McGill.

Then external affairs minister Allan MacEachen admitted as much in the House of Commons.

U.S. courts, submitting to CIA pleas on security grounds, have resisted Rauh's request that Hulse and Knaus be produced.

So now Rauh, in his last play before trial, has asked that Canada at least produce the man the apologies were given to. We introduce you to the mysterious John Hadwen, resident of Rockcliffe, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Is he dead and gone, never to be traced? Retired, beyond official recall? Not at all, John Hadwen — the one man who can unravel the CIA brainwashing case — is very alive and well, in fact a former Canadian High Commissioner to New Delhi, now back in the Security and Intelligence branch at External Affairs down on Sussex Drive. The exact fancy title: Director General, Bureau for Security Services (dealing also with terrorism.)

Joe Rauh asks that Joe Clark at least produce Mr. Hadwen. If Mr. MacEachen admitted that



Allan
Fotheringham
Southern News

23 JAN 86

Mr. Hulse and Mr. Knaus apologized for the McGill caper, why can't Mr. Clark produce Mr. Hadwen for a court case involving nine aging Canadians — one plaintiff in his 80th year, the others in their 70s?

Would Canada rather stall until all have died off? One wonders.

The whole thing is so strange. Joe Rauh, who walks heavily with a cane, recently had his 75th birthday and remarks sardonically that his wife is threatening to divorce him if he doesn't get rid of this case that has delayed his retirement.

As he has told Joe Clark in letters, his small firm has spent more than 5,000 uncompensated hours on the case. The legal bills reach beyond \$175,000. The aging victims of Dr. Cameron of course have no money.

The puzzled Rauh has written Clark: "I thought I would receive the grateful support of the Canadian government, but with the exception of the long-gone Jeremy Kinsman, all I have received so far from your government is hostility."

(Kinsman, a former top officer with Ambassador Allan Gottlieb here, is now in Ottawa in the Marcel



Joe Clark

Whose side is External Affairs Minister on?

Masse battalions.)

CIA lawyers here argue that Hulse and Knaus cannot be produced for pre-trial testimony because national security is at stake. Rauh says their activities are "about as secret as those of Elizabeth Taylor."

They were identified by members of the Canadian Embassy after MacEachen publicly acknowledged four dates between August 1977 and February 1979 in which "expressions of regret" were made to Canadian officials — one in Washington and the other three in Ottawa.

If the CIA is innocent, why is it offering \$25,000 to each victim to settle the case? If the U.S. won't produce Hulse and Knaus, why won't the federal government produce John Hadwen — the man who received the apologies?

Whose side is Joe Clark on? Does the Canadian government have any balls left?

Do not tune out on this one.